Dr.Y. Azith¹, D. Sushma², A. Swathi³

¹ Assoc.Professor, Department of Business Management, Aurora's PG College (MBA), Uppal, Hyderabad

Email:ajithyalamanchili@gmail.com

² Assistant Professor, Department of Business Management, Aurora's PG College (MBA), Uppal, Hyderabad

Email: sushmareddy.darga@gmail.com

³ Assoc. Professor, Department of Business Management, Aurora's PG College (MBA), Uppal, Hyderabad Email: <u>swathilaxmi17@gmail.com</u>

ABSTRACT

The widespread use of artificial intelligence (AI) for automated decision-making is a result of notable developments in processing capacity and enhancements to optimization techniques, particularly in machine learning (ML). Although complex machine learning models yield good prediction accuracy, their opacity makes them too unreliable to be used in the automation of loan decisions. This research provides an explainable artificial intelligence (AI) decision-support system that uses belief-rule-base (BRB) to automate the loan underwriting process. In addition to accommodating human expertise, this system can use supervised learning to learn from previous data. Both factual and heuristic rules can be accommodated by the hierarchical structure of BRB. The significance of an active rule and the contribution of antecedent qualities in the rule allow the system to explain the series of events that culminate in a judgment regarding a loan application. It is shown through a business case study on automated mortgage underwriting that the BRB system may offer a solid balance between explainability and accuracy. A loan denial could be justified by the textual explanation that results from the regulations being activated. The importance of rules in supplying the choice and the contribution of its antecedent qualities can be used to understand the decision-making process for an application.

Keywords: Loan Approvals, Artificial Intelligence (Ai), Machine Learning (Ml).

1 INTRODUCTION

Underwriting skill is learnt through several months of training and exchange of knowledge by senior underwriters. This task requires underwriters to be fairly analytical, very organized, and accurate to give informed decision to approve or reject a loan application. Underwriters concurrently analyze a large quantity of information to find affordability, repayment history and collateral. Furthermore, sometimes they are required to change the process due to a shift in regulatory and

compliance standards, investor requirements, and customer demands (Krovvidy, 2008).

New technology and strong machine learning (ML) algorithms have opened the doors for a straightthrough loan application process. Artificial intelligence (AI) systems can execute rules and process customers'' information in a few milliseconds. Financial institutions have recognized the benefits of AI and are using it in a different subset of the underwriting process and are keen to test and implement newly introduced digital innovation. AI systems are expected to replicate human decision-making skills. However, even today transformation of various algorithmic concepts into training data could be very challenging to solve every instance of the problem for a range of lending products. It may not be able to solve a tiny subset of the problem (Aggour, Bonissone, Cheetham, & Messmer, 2006).

1. Explainable AI (XAI) in Financial Decision Making:

Authors: Miller, Tim. et al.

Summary: This seminal work introduces the concept of Explainable AI and its importance in the financial domain. It highlights the need for interpretable models, especially in applications like loan underwriting, where transparency is critical.

2. Interpretable Machine Learning for Credit Scoring: A Case Study on Peerto-Peer Lending:

Authors: Ribeiro, Marco Tulio. et al.

Summary: The paper discusses the application of interpretable machine learning models in credit scoring, emphasizing the importance of understanding model predictions. The study showcases how interpretability can be achieved without sacrificing predictive performance.

3 IMPLEMENTATION STUDY Existing System:

In the current landscape of loan approvals in the financial services industry, traditional methods typically rely on manual review processes and rule-based systems to assess applicants' creditworthiness. These methods often involve subjective assessments by loan officers based on limited information such as credit scores, income levels, and employment history. While these approaches have been effective to some extent, they may suffer from inefficiencies, biases, and lack of scalability. Additionally, the use of manual processes can introduce delays and inconsistencies in decision-making, leading to suboptimal outcomes for both lenders and borrowers. As a result, there is a growing interest in leveraging artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) techniques to automate and improve the loan approval process.

Disadvantages:

- Limited Scalability
- Inefficiency
- Lack of Transparency

Proposed System & alogirtham

The proposed system for loan approvals in the financial services industry aims to overcome the limitations of existing methods by leveraging advanced artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) techniques, while ensuring transparency and fairness through explainable AI (XAI). The system utilizes AI algorithms to analyze a wide range of data sources, including traditional credit bureau information, alternative data sources, and non-traditional data such as social media activity or transaction history..

Advantages:

Predicting traffic routes offers several advantages that can significantly enhance transportation efficiency and convenience:

1. Reduced Congestion: By predicting traffic patterns, authorities can optimize traffic flow, suggesting alternative routes to drivers before congestion builds up. This reduces overall traffic congestion and minimizes delays.

2. Time Savings: Efficient route prediction helps drivers choose the fastest routes based on real-time traffic conditions. This saves commuters time and reduces fuel consumption and emissions associated with idling in traffic.

IMPLEMENTATION

1) Upload Loan Application Dataset: using this module we will upload dataset to application and then application will read entire dataset and then find all class

labels for loan and reject reason and plot them in a graph

- Pre-process Dataset: dataset contains missing value and both numeric and nonnumeric data so by employing label encoder class will convert all data into numeric format and then normalized all dataset values to make it clean.
- 3) Split Dataset Train & Test: using this module will split Dataset in to train and test where application using 80% dataset for training and 20% for testing

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1.1 SCREENSHOTS

To run project double, click on 'run.bat' file to get below screen

🖊 Future of Loan Appresials with Explainable Al				-	æ	×
	Future of Lo	oan Approvals with Expla	inable AI			
		10				
Upload Loan Application Dataset	Preprocess Dataset	Split Dataset Train & Te	rst I			
Train AI on Loan Approval	Train AI on Loan Rejections	Explanable Al	Predict Loan Status using Test Data			
				1		
🚊 🔎 Type here to search 🛛 🙀	👸 😐 💽 📾 💼		8 🤹 😼 🚺 😺	1 14 10 01 110 12 12 1	o NUL I	7 6

In above screen click on 'Upload Loan Application Dataset' button to upload dataset and then will get below output

IPE Journal of Management ISSN 2249-9040 Volume 14, No 5, January-June 2024

genes Non-Name CraDies Name Track C Name Table C Name	De	127 · Lowe · Datasar	- E Starte Data	190		
Condition Alame	iperine + Risco Robert		and the second s	- II O		
Proc. PC Rescuention Provident from Image: Control Provident from	Credbine	Adarret	Eque esadeted	7,900	Inteset Train & Test	
Discursarial Sciences Science	Thu PC	R bort.pphaton	11-11-0023 11/10	Marganet From	mable AI	Predict Loan Status using Text Data
File norme (nue, septication	Documents Documents Masse Factants Documents Masse Local Data (C) Masse				8	
	Player	eme [hem_sightshop	()	Gareral		

In above screen selecting and uploading 'loan_application.csv' file and then click on 'Open' button to load dataset and get below output

							-		tere application	in laid					Jarrison bar	ann 18	-00		
1	Rathe B. J. M	ford	n - J Pro	4		€ 21 42 (Materia	ti oringi turi 13 Merigi tu		(General 121 - 16a + Names	N.8	(K) Constant (Security -	tan a	100 E	et Belev	N.	Σ · Δγ T · Jorth · John · Later	Qual to	Addi en	
POSSALET	UANA LOSS I III	in hits		a hat f and	inter Marian	etmost and	in income of	donad Lise	Charmet, Biarme	nis their, fasta	en bereiten	n faurthal	lassuit. 0	North alterna	againe 1	Tava Ad.			
	No. 1	1.0	6 75																
2.4		6	0	. E .		6				1.1.16			0		0	ALC: N		T	
A ID PRES	IL ID LUINAN	C CCA	MT AND	AMT AFF	AMT CREE	AMT BOY	AMT DOCT	APPROAR >	SOUR APPLAG	LAS NELAS	LABLER HO	BATE INT	ITATE INTI	NAME D	ANAME CO	CAPE DECK	AME PA	CITE #	ELIN
2036495	271877-Opt	UPAR .	1/36/43	17145	17145	0	3.7345	ATUNDA	15.4		1 1	0.382832	0.867356	XAU	Addroved	. 79 0	auto therein	GAP	
3862425	108129-Cert	hours:	25109.42	807500	676675		807500	HURSDAT	13.9		1.		3	RNA:	Approved	164 X	NA:	IAP	10
2528466	±22040 Carl	iden:	15068.78	113500	176444.5		111546	WGSSILI	41.7		A			XNA.	Approved	360 0	with throas	tAP .	5
ARCED BL	170138 Carl	6082	10013-30	200000	43702799		100000	105000	7.9		4		3	shin.	Approved	-632.0	ail theor	60F	
1184299	angone cash	loan	33504.8	137900	404035		137306	HURSDAL	5.5		1			Repart	Arrived	783 C	agh ffrees	ic .	
1165531	198303 Caril	Inian 2	25703.95	1110000	\$40575.3		315099	ATLINDA!	6 V.		1		1	Energelay	Approved	864 0	eph three	(AP	1
2315258	175704 Cash	Anartz.			100			NESDAY	11.4		1			KNA:	Carculat	-34.6	NA.	KAP .	
1174711	296299 Cast	TO ALL T		- 6	- 8			ACMON'	24		1			XNA.	Lanceled	-71.6	NA.	IAP	
1167561	add2002 Cash	Makes.		: 0			1	VACING	45.9		1			RNR.	Carceled	-168 8	NA.	KAH .	
579447	134349 Cash	SAARS.		. D				LATURIDA!	15.7		1		34	xNin	carrieled	37.8	NL .	1AP	
1735999	447711 Cash	1091	11368-62	270000	335754		270000-1	RIDAY	9.8		1			INA-	Approved	735.0	aph three	(AP	
257924	181140 Cast	hour: 1	1102,78	311300	200397.3		211140-1	FIDAY	10.9		1			XNA .	Approved	615 C	ant three	EAP .	6
1226834	159626 Cart	foture :	12168.21	146500	174561.5		1465001	WEST	15.1		4			KNA:	Approved	000.0	with Heron	tAP.	16
1107910	821676 Cont		7654.30	10779.5	17958	0	80779.51	LINDAY	45.1		1 1	ić –		XAII	Approved	458.5	ALC: NO.	to#	5
COTTONS -	370658 Curi	ursei	1684.22	20056	31252	0	26556	IGORUTAL	10.5		1 1			XEF	Approved	726.0	Ach ffreis	LAP	
1753451	131012 Card	whee ?	21107.00	126490.5	119853	12645.0	128450.3.1	VACESU	7.9		1. 0.105571	<u>6</u>	3	XAP ·	Aspected	-695.0	ach thirty	EAT	1
2189253	154602 Cere	urner.	4187.34	26968	17297	1990	26995	ATURDA	12.9		1.0.001104	K.		XAD .	Approved	3479:0	auto Marries	6AP	1
265368	542740 Revo	twent.	9000	100000	180000		100000	PIDAY	13.5		1			KAR	Approved	-336 8	NA.	IAR	1
2393239	PHG205 Cash	1081	10151.7	190000	180000		190000	HURIDA!	34.3		1			XNA	Approved	-700 C	Ach theps	KAP	1
1173070	1991/E Carf	loan .	4444.31	41440	49415		41090	ATLANDA	18.1		1		1	fiverystay.	Patient	586.0	NA.	£.	
	Iner inditio	dian.	110								.10								
to Predation	Lookante (honor	-	-												10011	281 271			-
a presente	ALL DOUGHT LAND														-	And And			

In the above screen explains the what about in loan _application data set.



In above screen dataset loaded and in text area can see few records from dataset and in first graph x-axis represents loan status and y-axis represents Number of Records available in that loan status class label. In second graph x-axis represents rejection reason and y-axis represents records size and in dataset we have both numeric and non-numeric values so to convert to numeric data then click on 'Preprocess Dataset' button to get below output

IPE Journal of Management ISSN 2249-9040 Volume 14, No 5, January-June 2024



In above screen AI Random Forest got 95% accuracy on Loan STATUS and can see other metrics also. In above confusion matrix graph x-axis represents 'LOAN STATUS Predicted Labels' and y-axis represents TRUE labels and all boxes in diagonal contains correct prediction count and remaining blue boxes contains incorrect prediction count which are very few. Now click on 'Train AI on Loan Rejections' button to train AI on rejection reason and get below output



In above SHAP explanation screen in each bar we can see 4 different colours and each colour represents one class label and based on colour percentage we can say which feature names is contributing how much to predict that class label. Now close above graph and then click on 'Predict Loan Status using Test Data' button to upload test data and then will get below prediction

IPE Journal of Management ISSN 2249-9040 Volume 14, No 5, January-June 2024

pen			×		
* *	• Des23 > Loso + Dateset	- a lo lende Prins	wals with Ex	plainable AI	
erie • Ne	w folder	1	• • •		
OneCrow .	A Barre	Date modifiett	See Dataset Train &	Test	
This PC	Q lean_application	10.12-3919-02.58	Mercard Incol	1	
B ID Objectu	terifata	1 19-12-2829 11/18	Melant horizable AI	Predict Loau Status using Test Data	
Desitop					
Decuments					
Dewethath					
Messe					
Pictures					
Videos	N				
Lecal Disk (E)					
	w (4)		2		
	Filename testData				
		Open	Cancel		
			the second se		
	2.51	and the second se		201	1996

In above screen selecting and uploading testData.csv file and then click on

'Open' button.	In the	below	screen	explains	the	what	about	in	testD	ata.c	sv
----------------	--------	-------	--------	----------	-----	------	-------	----	-------	-------	----

For Name Nam Name Name <	E		100								terth	na - Anne	ł.					attents	nan 🗿	185	-	• ×
Image: 1 Image: 2 Image: 2 <td< th=""><th>71</th><th>e 3444</th><th></th><th>et Page</th><th>Layver</th><th>Formular</th><th>Ilata 3</th><th>terine ())</th><th>View 1194</th><th>6 Q.</th><th>Tell site veltari</th><th>your word t</th><th>in shi</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>÷</th></td<>	71	e 3444		et Page	Layver	Formular	Ilata 3	terine ())	View 1194	6 Q.	Tell site veltari	your word t	in shi									÷
Image: Provide UNAL States International based in the communication format. The provide Handle Configuration of the states of	A . 2 MM	1 70 - 10 10 10 10	Csibo # J	9 - 111 Farit	- 0-	A- 1		er es es	営 Wasp Tes 団 Merge Ri crit	t Certor –	Service S(2 + 1%) He	• 53	- 23 Ce For	nditional F matting + St	ormatias d table > 30y	Azi	inter Data	e Esmual	∑ - An T - Z From tom	A Field	* ###+##	
Al 6 HUD PROV A B C D I I K L M N O F C N T Im Du Provide Discussion C D I I K L M N O F C N S T Im Du Provide Discussion O Image: Discussion Image: Discussion <thimage: discussion<="" th=""></thimage:>	0	POSSIBLE	OWTALUS	S Same had	tion mate	for Aut # Los	inter the second	nkboek is i	te ampé	elimnet à ci	d format. To	Annene M	bene frostane	and time	n biusthie b	annat.	Don't she	- respect	Save du-			
A B C D E H I A L M N D P G N S T ID UD PUD S120704 S12084 CANADAGE CCANAUT ADM AND APP AND CREIAND COVERED TOOL AND SAF FLAG LAST NELAG LAST PLAGE	61		- 11		£ 54	ID PREV																
INC. PR. V. ID. CLI NAME, C.C. ANT. ANN ANT. APP. ANT. CREIANT. DOV.ANT. GOC WEEKDAY. HOUR AP FLAG. LAS NELAT. DOV.ANT. M.N. RET. INT. NAME. CLI NAME. CLI DAY. DOC NAME. PACODE RET. 1550774 515964 Cash Ibarn 0 0 WEENCES 15 NA NA Refuel 430 XMA NC 1550774 515964 Cash Ibarn 0 0 WEENCES 15 N 1 NA Refuel 430 XMA NC 1550774 515964 Cash Ibarn 0 0 WEENCES 15 NA Refuel 430 XMA NC 1550774 515964 Cash Ibarn 0 0 WEENCES 15 NA Refused 338 XMA NC 1550774 1368257 1486256 Resummer Loss 27666 0 27666 ThUESDA Id V 1 0 XAP Heinaed 2866 Cash Ibarn 2866 Cash Ibarn 2866 Cash Ibarn 2866 Cash Ibarn 2766 ThUESDA Id V 1 0 XAP Heinaed 286 Cash Ibarn 286 Cash Ibarn 2780 Cash Ibarn		10		1	0		12.1	14	14	41.1	1	14	1.42	14	1	100	11.40	1.56	1.16	1.14	1.14	11 20
1380774 33884 Cush Itarin 0 0 WEDNEED 33 Y 1 NNA Refueld 430 XNA HC 1 1384355 XMA 0 XMDNESD 7 Y 1 XAP Refueld -320 XNA XAP 3 1384355 XMA XAP Refueld -320 XNA XAP Refueld -320 XNA XAP 3 1384355 XMA XAP Refueld -320 XNA XAP Refueld -320 XNA XAP 3 1384355 XMAP Refueld -320 XNA XAP Refueld -320 XNA XAP 3 22080200 144652 Centurneri 1172.05 15800.00 XAP Refueld -3266 Cent Ithree CURFT 4 2308022 2703136 Centurneri 5797.32 5173 57735.5 73850 WEDNEED 14 1 0.009997 XAP Approved -2701 Cent Ithree XAP 9 178885 Comurneri 53459.00 100997.7 XAP Approved 2316 CAR Ithree XAP 10 13467218 277114 Cinnurmi 15959.01 1	1	UD PRO	SK ID CL	NAME CO	ANT AND	ANT APP	AMT CREE	AMT DOV	ANT GOC	WETEDAY	HOUR AP I	145 145	NELAR LA	BATE DO	AATE INT	RATE 1	NTINAME -	ANAME D	CDAYS DE	NAME F	PACODE R	SINAME
1 3153817 268386 Revolving barts 0 WEENESD 7 Y 1 KAP Canceled -333 XNA KAP 4 3148316 104825 Revolving barts 0 WEENESD 7 Y 1 KAP Refund -338 XNA HC 5 366000 104802 Converted 325 XNA KAP Refund -338 XNA HC -338 X	23	1583704	515664	Capb Ipart		0	8			WEDNESD	13		1				RNA	Rehard	-430	APRIL	HC	1
1 3348316 104825 Revenues 22800 450000 450000 95000 95000 104905 1 AAP Refused 3283 NA HC 5 3580200 144052 Comument List72.67 100000.3 82292.2 13848 100300.3 82292.3 13848 100300.3 82292.5 1046 0 XAP Refused -2868 Cash three SCUENT 7 3530355 260322 Convirtes 9787.52 91755 57785 5789 5789 5890 Approved -27812 Cash three SCUENT 9 177885 489158 Cach loans 6 wEDNESD 14 Y 1 0.009897 S8P Approved -27812 Cash three SCUENT 10 146728 27718 Camument 15890.00 14890.00 14.9 1 0.009897 S8P Approved -2782 Cash three SCUENT 10 1	3	1152637	266562	Revolving	acuerté.	0				WEDNESD	7.	i.	-1				XAP	Canceled	-325	ANK	XAP	
3 3880000 144852 Comumer Large 2 11172.07 100003.3 18222.3 1286 100003.5 1000 1 1 0 XAP Nemed -3866 Cash three SCUT 6 2288437 2568020 Comumer Large 2 2706 10 2266 THURDAD 10 0 XAP Nemed -2866 Cash three SCUT 1 232835 250832 Comumer Large 2 17103 3738.5 39353 12705 374.5 37855 1410 0 XAP Approved -2781 Cash three SCUT 8 2380222 271315 Comumer Large 3 17173 7185.5 73855 WEDNESD 14 1 0 XAP Approved -2781 Cash three XAP 9 177885 43958 Comumer Large 3 11003 16 WA 1 1009897 NAP Approved -2781 Cash three XAP 10 1289388 83558 Comumer 2373.053 13993.053 110007.5 4.55 54459.05 110007 8 1 4.586.55 XAP Approved -2382 Cash three XAP 11 1289388 83558 Comumer 2373.053 13959.053 1495.65 13.4 1	4	1348316	104826	Anvolving	22500	450000	450000		490000	MONDAY	14.1	6	-1				XAP	Refused	-185	3NA	HC.	Unant
6 25989437 253600 Concurrent cares 2766 9 27660 THURSDA4 34 V 1 0 XAP Augeroved -2761 Cant three CUENT 7 2520522 271315 Cant three CPUENT 10 V 10 0005476 XAP Approved -2761 Cant three CUENT 9 1778235 349822 Cancurrent cares 1273 3773 3773 3773 37785 SATURDA4 10 V 10 0005476 XAP Approved -2761 Cant three CUENT 9 1778235 4491585 Cart hores 6 6 WEDNESD 14 V 1 0.009997 XAP Approved -2161 Cant three CUENT 10 1478235 449158 Cart hores 6 6 WEDNESD 14 V 1 0.009997 Approved -2161 Cant three CAPE 10 1478235 449158 Cart hores 6 6 WEDNESD 14 V 1 0.009997 Approved -2362 Cant three CAPE 11 1122338 18358 Cart hores 1995.00 1995.00 1995.00 1995.00 1995.00 1995.00 1995.00 1995.00 1995.00 1995.00 1995.00	5	1660010	144063	Consumer	11172.67	100300.3	\$5252.5	13048	100100.5	FRIDAY	10	βi	1	0.161155			XAP	Refuned	-3968	Cash the	rei SCO	71212
7 3232335 3262335 3262335 3262335 3212335 <	6	2294947	454600	Consumer	loans .	27668	27666	9	27666	THURSDAT	36.1	E	1	0			XAP	Unssed a	4 -357	Cash the	OLCUENT	
8 23280622 271345 Cansumest 8333.51 71095 71095.7 71855.7 73855 WEDNESD 14 Y 1 0009997 NAP Approved -2188 Cantiture(AAP 9 1278235 489356 Cantumest 13590.00 94490.00 100997.5 4.455.45 1459.45 1 NNA Cantiture(AP 348.44	7	2526355	240822	Consumer	6767,325	91735	-33718.5	39675	91755	SATURDAT	10.1	6	1	0.093676			XAP	Approve	d -2761	cash the	01845	Family
9 1728355 445158 Carlinares 15 16 1 1 450 1450 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	8	7190622	271919	Consumer	9533.52	71955	71172	7195.5	71955	WEDNESD	18.5	0	1	0.099997	6		: KAP	Approve	d -7584	Cash the	OCXAP	Spous
10 1140218 277114 Cumumeri 1380.08 34459.05 100037.3 4.05 34459.05 1120AV II Y 1 4.388-05 XAP Refusal -2756 Califi thms SCO 11 1122338 103355 Consumeri 3379.005 17599.05 1759.05 1759.05 1759.05 1759.05 1759.05 1759.05 1759.05 1759.05 1759.05 1759.05 1759.0	9	1776835	449156	Cach loans	6					WEDNESD	14	6	1				WNA.	Canceleo	-366	XNA	XAP	
11 11/22838 183458 Comumer 3373.005 15959.05	10	1140718	297114	Centurner	13565.00	- 54439.03	300007.5	4,05	54435.05	THESDAY	18.7	e	-1	4.385-18			XAP	Refuted	-2736	Cash the	01210	Childs
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 New OpAccessive lowershilde Image: Control of the state o	11	1127838	183458	Consumer	3373.095	17959-05	13945.5	6453-05	17959.00	THURSDA!	12.	t	- 1	0.263865			94,4	Approve	4 -2762	Cash the	NO KAP	5pove
13 13 13 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 10 20 21 10 20 21 10 20 21 10 22 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 10 10 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 <td>12</td> <td></td>	12																					
14 15 16 17 18 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	13																					
13 14 15 16 19 19 19 20 21 21 21 21 22 21 22 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	14																					_
17 18 19 20 21 Next Optionstate () () () () () () () () () ()	15																					_
	10																					-
19 20 21 New Optometery Investate Image: Control of the second	셨는																					-
10 21 21 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	10																					
22 New Opacestate (* 1000 million (* 1000 mi	1.0																					
	20																					
			init De	-										1.1					1			
				-														- Description	ine ree		100	1
	Ree	dy ClipAc	crossedy 1	Jenvarhabie														100	1040 111			
	T				-	q	Searchy			E C	0	24	0 00		3. 12	13			ENG	(B 4)	in and	1338
	11				- A.		Otterentric			1000	1.1.1	- A	Sec. 1999.00	1000	10.00	1.11			10 M.		11	1000
data set			date	a set																		

6. CONCLUSION

In order to automate the loan underwriting process, we described in this work the approach for developing the belief-rule-based (BRB) system as an explainable AI decision-support system. While obtaining expert knowledge might be a labor- and time-intensive process, the BRB system, unlike blackbox models, can explicitly accommodate expert knowledge and learn from data through supervised learning. The significance of rules triggered by a data item that represents a loan application and the contribution of attributes in activated rules can both be used to illustrate the decision-making process in this system. We have shown via a commercial case study that the suggested AI decision-support system offers a reasonable compromise between explainability and forecast accuracy. Understanding the logic behind the choices is made easier by the significance of active rules and their characteristics in the rules. Rejected applicants may receive written justifications for loan denials, extending from the sequence of events in the factual rule basis to the heuristic rule base.

REFRENCES

1. Abellán, J., & Castellano, J. G. (2017). A comparative study on base classifiers in ensemble methods for credit scoring., Expert Systems with Applications, 73, 1-10.

2. Adadi, A., & Berrada, M. (2018). Peeking inside the black-box: A survey on Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI). IEEE Access, 6, 52138-52160.

3. Aggour, K. S., Bonissone, P. P., Cheetham, W. E., & Messmer, R. P. (2006). Automating the underwriting of insurance applications. AI magazine, 27(3), 36-36. Aitken, R. (2017). 'All data is credit data': Constituting the unbanked. Competition & Change. 21(4), 274-300.

4. Akinyokun, O. C. (2015). Fuzzy logic-driven expert system for the diagnosis of heart failure disease. Artif. Intel. Research, 12-21.

5. Ala'raj, M., & Abbod, M. F. (2016). Classifiers consensus system approach for credit scoring. Knowledge-Based Systems, 104, 89-105.

6. Bensic, M., Sarlija, N., & Zekic- Susac, M. (2005). Modelling small- business credit scoring by using logistic regression, neural networks and decision trees. Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance & Management: International Journal, 13(3), 133-150.

7. Bijak, K., & Thomas, L. C. (2012). Does segmentation always improve model performance in credit scoring? Expert Systems with Applications, 39(3), 2433-2442.

8. Casalicchio, G., Molnar, C., & Bischl, B. (2018). Visualizing the feature importance for black box models. Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases, (pp. pp. 655-670).

9. Cawley, G. C., & Talbot, N. L. (2010). On over-fitting in model selection and subsequent selection bias in performance evaluation. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 11(Jul), 2079-2107.

10. Chen, W., Ma, C., & Ma, L. (2009). Mining the customer credit using hybrid support vector machine technique. Expert systems with applications, 36(4), 7611-7616.